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## ABSTRACT

A new Lyapunov function is constructed for a general n-machine power system with non-zero transfer conductance. The theory of dissipative dynamic systems is used and the procedure calls for checking positive definiteness of a sparse matrix $Q$. In this paper we report the results only for the case of non-uniform damping which is more complex than the uniformly damped system. The procedure is iterative and may fail to converge for very small damping and strong interconnections. The Lyapunov Function is a quadratic term plus a weighted sum of integrals a form not reported before. This is an extension of the previously reported [2] quadratic Lyapunov function.

## 1. Introduction

This paper reports a successful procedure to construct Lyapunov functions for a multimachine power system, with transfer conductances included, using dissipative systems theory [5] for large-scale interconnected system [4]. The stability test consists in testing a sparse matrix $Q$ for positive definiteness. The derived Lyapunov Fucntion, a quadratic term plus a weighted sum of integrals of all the non linearities is of a form not found in the literature to date.

Araki et.al.[7] and Jocic et.al.[8] derived Lyapunov functions with only ( $\mathrm{n}-1$ ) integral terms for an n-machine system. The method in [7] works only for the uniformly damped power system. There is an extension of [8] for the nonuniformly damped system. The method presented in this paper gives a larger region of stability and less restrictive conditions on system parameters for the existence of a Lyapunov function. All the attempts to derive a Lyapunov function in the manner of Aylett, with path independent integral terms, have failed [10], although there exist some approximate methods [1],[11]. To obtain better results we manipulate system equations to get the results for some sector $[\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{k}], \mathrm{k}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{o} \leq \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}} \leq 1$. This manipulation is possible because we decompose the system into small subsystems so the computational requirements increase only linearly.

The paper is organised as follows: Section 2 discusses the problem formulation and Section 3 introduces the dissipative systems theory for interconnected systems. Section 4 introduces an n-machine power system first and then gives a step-by-step procedure to construct a Lyapunov function. Section 5 demonstrates the procedure using a numerical example and Section 6 concludes the paper. In this paper we have discussed power system with non uniform damping only. The case of uniform damping being easier of the two is omitted and can be found in reference [3].

## 2. Dynamical System

We will study the stability of the following system (1a) and (1b). The system (1) is an interconnection of $m$ linear subsystems (1a) and $\mathrm{m}^{2}+2 \mathrm{~m}$ nonlinear dynamic subsystems ( 1 b ).
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}_{i} \\
\dot{x}_{n} \\
\dot{z}_{i}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\lambda_{i} & 0 & -\mu_{i 1} \\
0 & -\lambda_{n} & \mu_{i 2} \\
1 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{i} \\
x_{n} \\
z_{i}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{i 1} \\
u_{i 2}
\end{array}\right]} \\
& y_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & -1 & \beta
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{i} \\
x_{n} \\
z_{i}
\end{array}\right] \quad i=1, \ldots, m \\
& \text { for } k=1, \ldots, m^{2}+2 m \\
& \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{~m} \\
& \dot{\sigma}_{k}=-\beta \sigma_{k}+u_{k+m} \\
& y_{m+k}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Psi_{i j}\left(y_{i}-y_{j}\right) \quad, \quad k=m(i-1)+j \text { and } i \neq j \\
\Psi_{i n}\left(y_{i}\right), k=m(i-1)+i \\
\Psi_{i j}\left(y_{j}\right), k=m^{2}+j \\
\Psi_{n j}\left(y_{j}\right), k=m^{2}+m+j
\end{array}\right. \\
& \text { where } u_{i 1}=-b_{i n} \psi_{i n}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)-\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{m} b_{i j} \psi_{i j}\left(\sigma_{i}-\sigma_{j}\right) \\
& u_{i 2}=b_{n i} \psi_{n i}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)+\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{m} b_{n j} \psi_{n j}\left(\sigma_{j}\right) \\
& u_{k+m}= \begin{cases}x_{i}-x_{j}+\beta\left(z_{i}-z_{j}\right), & z \neq j \text { and } k=m(i-1)+j \\
x_{i}+\beta z_{i}, & k=m(i-1)+i \\
x_{j}+\beta z_{j}, & k=m^{2}+j \\
x_{j}+\beta z_{j,}, & k=m^{2}+m+j\end{cases} \\
& \text { - } n=m+1 \\
& \text { - } \quad \lambda_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{ij}} \text { are positive constraints } \\
& \text { - each of the } \mathrm{m}^{2}+2 \mathrm{~m} \text { nonlinearity } \psi_{\mathrm{ij}}(\cdot) \text { lies in some sector } \\
& \text { [ } \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{k} \text { ]. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The system (1) is obtained by using the multiplier ( $s+\beta$ ) along with the following system (2). It can be shown that the stability of system (1) implies the stability of system (2). The use of multipliers
to enhance the applicability of theorems giving the stability limits for nonlinear systems is well known [5].

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}_{i}=-\lambda_{i} x_{i}-\mu_{i 1} z_{i}+u_{i n} \\
& \dot{x}_{n}=-\lambda_{n} x_{n}+\mu_{i 2} z_{i}+u_{i 2} \\
& \dot{z}_{i}=x_{i}-x_{n} \quad i=1, \ldots, m \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{i 1}=b_{n i} \psi_{i n}\left(z_{i}\right)-\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{m} b_{i j} \psi_{i j}\left(z_{i}-z_{j}\right) \\
& u_{12}=b_{r i} \psi_{r i}\left(z_{i}\right)+\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{m} b_{n j} \psi_{n j}\left(z_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Dissipative Systems and Stability

In this section we use the results of the dissipative systems theory[4],[5] to arrive at the criterion of stability for the system (1). Briefly stated the dissipative theory is used to derive an energy storage function, for a given dynamic system, which under certain restrictions can be used as a Lyapunov function. The stability criteria reported in[4] give conditions on the interconnection of a large scale system such that a weighted sum of the subsystem energy functions give a Lyapunov function for the overall system. Here we do not repeat the various proofs given in [4] and [5], instead we state only the claim as is relevant to our problem.

## Claim 1

The system (1a) is ( $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) dissipative for

$$
Q_{i}=-\alpha_{i}, \quad s_{i}=\left[\alpha_{i} \beta_{i 1}-\alpha_{i} \beta_{i 2}\right], \quad R_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{i} r_{i 1} & o \\
0 & \alpha_{i} r_{i 2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

If there exists a positive definite matrix $P_{i}$ matrices $L_{i}$ and $W_{i}$ satisfying the following equation (3). The storage function

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varnothing\left(\tilde{x}_{i}\right)=\tilde{x}_{i}^{T} P_{i} \tilde{x}_{i} . \\
& P_{i} A_{i}+A_{i}^{T} P_{i}=C_{i}^{T} Q_{i} C_{i}-L_{i} L_{i}^{T}  \tag{3}\\
& P_{i} B_{i}=C_{i}^{T}\left(A_{i} D_{i}+S_{i}^{T}\right)-L_{i} W_{i} \\
& R_{i}+S_{i}^{T} D_{i}+D_{i}^{T} S_{i}+D_{i}^{T} Q_{i} D_{i}=W_{i}^{T} W_{i}
\end{align*}
$$

Where subsystem (la)for $i=1, \ldots, m$ has
the system matrices $\left[A_{p} B_{i}, C_{i}, D_{i}\right]$ and $\tilde{x}_{i}^{T}=\left[x_{i}, x_{n}, z_{i}\right]$

## Proof Refer [5].

## Claim 2

If the function $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{k}}(\cdot)$ lies in the sector $\left[0, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}\right]$, where $\mathrm{k}_{\mathbf{i}}>0$, then the subsystem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\sigma}_{k}=-\beta \sigma_{\mathbf{k}}+u_{k} \\
& y_{k}=f_{k}\left(\sigma_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is $\left(-\alpha_{i,} \alpha_{i} \beta_{i}, 0\right)$ dissipative, for any $\alpha_{i}>0$ and $\beta_{i} \geq \frac{k_{i}}{2 \beta}$.
The storage function is $\varnothing\left(\sigma_{k}\right)=2 \alpha_{i} \beta_{i} \int_{0}^{\sigma_{k}} f_{k}(\sigma) d \sigma$

## Proof Refer [5]

The Claims 1 and 2 give us the storage functions for each $m$ linear subsystem (1a) and $\mathrm{m}^{2}+2 \mathrm{~m}$ nonlinear dynamic subsystem (1b) respectively. The overall system (1) can be obtained as a linear interconnection of
(i) m - linear subsystems (2a),
(ii) $\mathrm{m}^{2}+2 \mathrm{~m}$ nonlinear dynamic subsystems (1b)
let $y^{T}=\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}, y_{m}+1, \ldots, y_{m}{ }^{2}+3_{m}\right]$

$$
\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}=\left[\mathrm{u}_{11}, \mathrm{u}_{12}, \ldots, \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{m} 1}, \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{m} 2} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{m}+1}, \ldots, \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{2}+3 \mathrm{~m}\right]
$$

and $\mathrm{u}=-\mathrm{Hy}$ specify the linear interconnections to get the composite system (1). H is called the interconnection matrix.

We go through the following steps to check for stability of an interconnected system.

Step 1 Use Claim 1 to get ( $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) for all the m linear subsystems (1a).

Step 2 Use Claim 2 to get $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{i}}, S_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ for all the $\mathrm{m}^{2}+2 \mathrm{~m}$ nonlinear dynamic systems (1b).

Step 3 Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Q} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \operatorname{diag}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{2}+3 \mathrm{~m}\right) \\
& \mathrm{S} \stackrel{\Delta}{\triangleq} \operatorname{diag}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{2}+3 \mathrm{~m}\right) \\
& \mathrm{R} \triangleq \operatorname{diag}\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{2}+3 \mathrm{~m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\frac{\text { Step } 4}{\text { as }}$ Using the interconnection matrix $H$ define the matrix $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}$
as

$$
\hat{Q}=\mathrm{SH}+\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{RH}-\mathrm{Q}
$$

and check if $Q$ is a positive definite matrix.
Step 5 If $\hat{Q}$ is a positive definite matrix then the system (1) is stable
and $\varnothing(\tilde{x})=\sum_{i=1}^{m^{2}+3 m} \varnothing_{i}\left(\widetilde{x}_{i}\right)$
is a Lyapunov function.
If $\hat{Q}$ is not a positive definite function start again from Step 1 choosing different set of dissipativity parameters.

Note that if a subsystem is $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ dissipative then it is also ( $\alpha_{i} Q_{i}, \alpha_{i} S_{i}, \alpha_{i} R_{i}$ ) dissipative for all $\alpha_{l}>0$.

We call $\alpha_{i}$ the scaling factors. There are no set guidelines to choose $\alpha_{i}^{\prime}$ s, but we choose them in such a way as to increase the chances of Q being positive definite. One possible choice is to select the scaling
factors so as to cancel as many as possible off diagonal terms of $\hat{Q}$. In the next section we see how these results can be used to obtain stability regions for power systems.

## 4. Multimachine Power System

In this section we derive the Lyapunov function for a power system with transfer conductances and non-unifrom damping. We use the formulation of section 2 and the method of section 3

Using the standard nomenclature [6] we can represent the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ machine of an n-machine system as

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{i} \ddot{\delta}_{i}+d_{i} \dot{\delta}_{i}+ & \sum_{\substack{\mathrm{j}=1 \\
\mathrm{j} \neq \mathrm{i}}}^{n} E_{i} E_{j} Y_{i j}\left[\sin \left(\delta_{i j}+\Theta_{i j}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\sin \left(\delta_{i j}+\Theta_{i j}\right)\right]=0 \\
& \text { for } \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n .
\end{align*}
$$

Define the state variables as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{i} \triangleq \dot{\delta}_{i} \quad i=1, \ldots, n \\
& z_{i} \triangleq \delta_{i n}-\delta_{i n}^{0} i=1, \ldots, m \\
& m=n-1 \\
& \delta_{i n} \triangleq \delta_{i}-\delta_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

The state space representation of system (4) using the above defined state variables is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{x}_{i}=-\lambda_{i} x_{i}+u_{i} \quad i=1, \ldots, m \\
& \dot{x}_{n}=-\lambda_{n} x_{n}+u_{n} \\
& \dot{z}_{i}=x_{i}-x_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
u_{i}=-b_{i n}\left[\sin \left(z_{i}+\delta_{i n}+\Theta_{i n}\right)-\sin \left(\delta_{i n}+\Theta_{i n}\right)\right]
$$

$$
-\sum_{\substack{\mathrm{j}=1 \\ \mathrm{j} \neq \mathrm{i}}}^{m} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{ij}}\left[\sin \left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{j}}+\delta_{\mathrm{ij}}+\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)-\sin \left(\delta_{\mathrm{ij}}+\theta_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)\right]
$$

$$
u_{n}=-\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{n j}\left[\sin \left(z_{j}-\left(\delta_{n j}+\Theta_{n j}\right)\right)+\sin \left(\delta_{n j}+\Theta_{n j}\right)\right]
$$

after a simple algebraic manipulation the above set of equations can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}_{i}=-\lambda_{i} x_{i}-\mu_{i 1} z_{i}+u_{i 1} \quad i=1,2, \ldots m \\
& \dot{x}_{n}=-\lambda_{n} x_{n}+\mu_{i 2} z_{i}+u_{i 2} \\
& \dot{x}_{i}=x_{i}-x_{n} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{i 2}=b_{i n}\left[\sin \left(z_{i}+\delta_{i n}+\Theta_{i n}\right)-\sin \left(\delta_{i n}+\Theta_{i n}\right)-\varepsilon_{i 1} z_{i}\right] \\
& -\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\
j \neq i}}^{m} b_{i j}\left[\sin \left(z_{i}-z_{j}+\delta_{i j}+\Theta_{i j}\right)-\sin \left(\delta_{i j}+\Theta_{i j}\right)\right] \\
& u_{i 2}=b_{r i}\left[\sin \left(z_{i}-\left(\delta_{n i}+\Theta_{n i}\right)\right)+\sin \left(\delta_{r i}+\Theta_{r i}\right)-\varepsilon_{i 2} z_{i j}\right] \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{n j}\left[\sin \left(z_{i}-\left(\delta_{n j}+\Theta_{n j}\right)\right)+\sin \left(\delta_{n j}+\Theta_{n j}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& o \leq \varepsilon_{i \mathfrak{i}} \leq 1 \\
& o \leq \varepsilon_{\mathrm{i} 2} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\mu_{i 1}=b_{i n} \varepsilon_{i 1}
$$

and

$$
\mu_{i 2}=b_{n i} \varepsilon_{i 2}
$$

We can see that system (5) is a special case of system (2), where $\Psi \mathrm{ij}(\cdot)$ are the various sinusoidal nonlinearities. This being the case, we now give a step-by-step procedure to construct the Lyapunov function of system (5).

Step 1 The system matrices for the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ linear subsystem are

$$
\mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-\lambda_{i} & 0 & -\mu_{i 1} \\
0 & -\lambda_{n} & \mu_{i 2} \\
1 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right]: \beta_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& C_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & -1 & \beta
\end{array}\right], D_{i}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for

$$
0 \leq \varepsilon_{i 1}, \varepsilon_{i 2}<1
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}} \triangleq \lambda_{\mathrm{i}}+\lambda_{\mathrm{n}} \\
& \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{i}} \triangleq \mu_{\mathrm{i} 1}+\mu_{\mathrm{i} 2}+\lambda_{\mathrm{i}} \lambda_{\mathrm{n}} \\
& \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}} \triangleq \varepsilon_{\mathrm{i} 2} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{ni}} \lambda_{\mathrm{i}}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{i} 2} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{in}} \lambda_{\mathrm{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

then choose $\varepsilon_{i 1}$, and $\varepsilon_{i 2}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{i}^{2}-2 b_{i}>0 \\
& \left(a_{i}^{2}-2 b_{i}\right)\left(b_{i}^{2}-2 a_{i} c_{i}\right)-c_{i}^{2}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

initial choice can be $\varepsilon_{i 1}=\varepsilon_{2}=0.9$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} / n \\
& \beta_{i 1}>\max \left[\frac{1}{2\left(\lambda_{i}-\beta\right)}, \frac{\beta^{2}+\lambda_{n}^{2}}{2\left(\lambda_{n} b_{i}+\beta b_{i}-a_{i} \beta \lambda_{i}-C_{i}\right)}, \frac{\beta \lambda_{n}}{2 C_{i}}\right] \\
& \beta_{i 2}>\max \left[\frac{1}{2\left(\lambda_{n}-\beta\right)}, \frac{\beta^{2}+\lambda_{i}^{2}}{2\left(\lambda_{i} b_{i}+\beta b_{i}-a_{i} \beta \lambda_{i}-C_{i}\right)}, \frac{\beta \lambda_{n}}{2 C_{i}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

such that $\beta_{i 1} \lambda_{n}=\beta_{i 2} \lambda_{i}$

$$
\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i} 1}, \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i} 2}=0.1
$$

Now solve for the symmetric positive definite matrix $P_{i}$ the matrix equation (3). If the matrix equation (3) does not have a solution for the chosen value of ( $\mathrm{r}_{11}, \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i} 2}$ ), we have two options
(a) increase the value of $\left(\mathrm{r}_{11}, \mathrm{r}_{2}\right)$
(b) increase the value of $\left(\varepsilon_{i 1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)$
and then solve (3). In the case where equation (3) has a solution, the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ subsystem is

$$
\left(-\alpha_{i}\left[\alpha_{i} \beta_{i 1}-\alpha_{i} \beta_{\mathrm{i} 2}\right],\left[\begin{array}{lr}
\alpha_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i} 1} & 0 \\
0 & \alpha_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i} 2}
\end{array}\right]\right)
$$

with the storage function

$$
\varnothing_{i}\left(X_{i}\right)=x_{i}^{T} P_{i} x_{i} .
$$

Note that the large values of $r_{i 1}, r_{i 2}, \varepsilon_{i 1}, \varepsilon_{i 2}$ ensure the satisfaction of conditions put by Claim 1. At the same time large values of $r_{i 2}$ and $r_{i 2}$ reduce the chance of $\hat{Q}$ being positive definite and the larger $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{i} 1}, \varepsilon_{\mathrm{i} 2}$ are the more conservative the stability region is, so the idea is to keep these parameters as close to zero as possible.

Step 2 Choose $\beta_{i}, i=m+1, \ldots, m^{2}+2 m$

$$
\beta_{i}=\frac{k_{i-m}}{2 \beta}
$$

where the $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{m}}(\cdot)$ is in the sector $\left(\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{m}}\right)$

## Step 3 Scaling factors

> Choose $\quad \alpha_{i} \beta_{i 1}=1$
> $\mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}$
> $\alpha_{i} \beta_{i 1} b_{i j}=\alpha_{m i+j} \beta_{m i+j}, \quad j=1, \ldots, m, j \neq i$
> $\alpha_{i} \beta_{\mathrm{il}} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{m}}=\alpha_{\mathrm{mi}+\mathrm{i}} \beta_{\mathrm{mi}+\mathrm{i}}$
> $\alpha_{i} \beta_{i 2} b_{r i}=\alpha_{m^{2}+m+i} \beta_{m^{2}+m+i}$
> $\alpha_{i} \beta_{i 1} b_{m i}=\alpha_{m}{ }^{2}+2 m+i=m_{m+2 m+i}$

Step 4 Form the matrix $\hat{\mathrm{Q}}=\mathrm{SH}+\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Q}$ as discussed in section 3 , check whether it is positive definite or note. If yes, then step 5 gives the Lyapunov function, if not choose a different set of $\varepsilon_{i}^{\prime}$ 's, possibly larger than the previous one and restart at step 1.
$\frac{\text { Step } 5}{\text { by }}$ If $\hat{Q}$ is positive definite the Lyapunov function is given $\mathrm{V}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{m}} \widetilde{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}} \widetilde{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{i}}+\sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{m}} \sum_{\substack{\mathrm{j}=1 \\ \mathrm{j} \neq \mathrm{k}}}^{\mathrm{m}} 2 \alpha_{\mathrm{k}} \beta_{\mathrm{k}} b_{\mathrm{kj}} \int_{0}^{\sigma_{k}} \psi_{\mathrm{kj}}(\sigma) \mathrm{d} \sigma$
$+\sum_{k=1}^{m} 2 \alpha_{k} \beta_{k 1} b_{k n} \int_{o}^{\sigma_{k}} \Psi_{k n}(\sigma) d \sigma+\sum_{k=1}^{m} 2 \alpha_{k} \beta_{k \mid} b_{n k} \int_{0}^{\sigma_{k}} \Psi_{n k}(\alpha) d \sigma$
$+\sum_{k=1}^{m} 2 \alpha_{k} \beta_{k 2} b_{n k} \int_{0}^{\sigma_{k}} \Psi_{n k}(\sigma) d \sigma$

## 5. Numerical Example

Let us consider a 3-machine system with the following parameters in per unit

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}=0.1, M_{2}=0.1, M_{3}=4.0 \\
& E_{1},=E_{2}=E_{3}=1.0 \\
& Y_{12}=0.05, Y_{13}=2.0, Y_{23}=2.0 \\
& \delta_{12}^{\circ}=5^{\circ}, \delta_{13}^{\circ}=2^{\circ}, \delta^{\circ}{ }_{23}=-3^{\circ} \\
& \theta_{12}=4^{\circ}, \theta_{13}=2^{\circ}, \theta_{23}=10 \\
& \lambda_{1}=9.5, \lambda_{2}=10.0, \lambda_{3}=10.5
\end{aligned}
$$

with these values we have

$$
\mathrm{b}_{12}=\mathrm{b}_{21}=0.5, \mathrm{~b}_{13}=\mathrm{b}_{23}=20.0, \mathrm{~b}_{31}=\mathrm{b}_{32}=0.5
$$

choose $\quad \beta=5.0$

$$
\varepsilon_{1}=\varepsilon_{2}=0.5
$$

using the procedure discussed in section 4 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{11}=0.244, \beta_{12}=0.270 \\
& \mathbf{r}_{11}=0.1, \mathrm{r}_{12}=2.1 \\
& \beta_{21}=0.244 \beta_{22}=0.256 \\
& \mathbf{r}_{21}=0.1, \mathrm{r}_{22}=2.2 \\
& \alpha_{1} \beta_{11}=\alpha_{2} \beta_{21}=1.0 \Rightarrow \alpha_{1}=\frac{1}{\beta_{11}}, \alpha_{2}=\frac{1}{\beta_{21}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
0.1836 & -0.1697 & 1.2232 \\
-0.1697 & 0.1572 & -1.1203 \\
1.2232 & 01.1203 & 8.4888
\end{array}\right] \\
& P_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
0.174 & -0.168 & 1.221 \\
-0.168 & 0.1619 & -1.169 \\
1.221 & -1.169 & 8.995
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

With the given values of $\varepsilon_{i}$ 's the nonlinearities must lie in the sector

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -3.1763 \leq z_{1}-z_{2} \leq 2.8275 \\
& -1.895 \leq z_{1}, \leq 1.782 \\
& -1.838 \leq z_{2} \leq 2.005
\end{aligned}
$$

The Lyapunov function $V$ is found as reported in Step 5 of the previous section. The critical value of $V$ is obtained by minimising it over the polygon formed by the above mentioned constraints. Numerical optimization gives $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cr}}=18.5187$ at

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=-51.75, x_{2}=-42.23, x_{3}=-43.1371 \\
& z_{1}=1.7820, z_{2}=0.1025
\end{aligned}
$$

The region of stability is given by $\{\tilde{\mathrm{x}}: \mathrm{V}(\tilde{\mathrm{x}})<18.5187\}$
Figure 1 shows the $x_{1}-z_{1}$ cross section of the estimated region of stability.


Fig. 1 Region of Stability

## 6. Conclusion

A systematic procedure is given to construct a Lyapunov function for general $n$-machine power system with transfer conductances. The n-machine system is decomposed into smaller subsystems and is first analysed at subsystem level then a composition is done to
study the overall system stability. This simple method and the use of input-output stability concepts to choose suitable multiplier has helped in constructing a new Lyapunov function. A numerical example demonstrates the procedure. We obtain a region of stability using this approach while many previously reported methods could not produce any. A proper way to choose the scaling factors will help in getting sharper results.
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